## **NASA's Deep Impact Mission: Decision Making**

## **Critiquing Ideas**

## **ASSESSMENT GUIDE**

As students present a case for a data collection method, assess the quality of their work as thoroughly and as equitably as you possibly can. The following criteria can be used, along with additions that have been agreed upon in advance.

Collection Method Description						
1	2	3	4			
Description of data	Description of data collection method(s)	Description of data collection method(s) is	Description of data collection method(s) is			
collection method(s)	is provided, but not expressed in a	provided in clear and understandable	clear, understandable, thorough, and			
not provided or	manner that is clear and easily	manner, but lacks some thoroughness.	reinforced throughout presentation.			
addressed.	understandable.					
	Evidence and Argument					
1	2	3	4			
Evidence is not	Some evidence is provided, but not	Evidence is explained, but not used as	Evidence is explained and used admirably			
provided.	explained or shown to be supportive of	effectively as possible to support argument	throughout presentation to support data			
	data collection method chosen.	for collection method chosen.	collection method chosen.			
Main Points and Organization						
1	2	3	4			
Main points are not	Main points are provided, but not	Main points are provided and organized, but	Main points are clear, concise, and			
provided.	organized.	sometimes lost in the presentation.	supported throughout presentation.			
Scientific Merit						
1	2	3	4			
Method(s) does not	Method(s) provides data that only	Method(s) provides data that answers	Method(s) will provide data that answers			
provide data that	partially answers fundamental mission	fundamental mission questions, but does not	mission questions, can be tied to existing			
answers	questions.	allow for comparison, ties existing data, or	data, allows for comparisons, and			
fundamental mission		compliments, to future projects.	complements future projects and research.			
questions.						

Technical Merit					
1	2	3	4		
Method(s) does not use tested instrumentation, and shows no indication	Method(s) uses partially tested instrumentation, and carries costs, risk of data loss, no stated or implied potential for added value of data.	Method(s) has heritage in that instrumentation is fairly well proven, but represents questionable cost, possible but not overwhelming potential for data loss,	Method(s) uses tested and proven instrumentation, represents minimal cost and/or risk of data loss, and provides for efficient data archival and posting, and		
of cost-effectiveness or minimization of risk.	ioi added value oi data.	and unclear added potential value.	provides added data value.		
		Visual Aids			
1	2	3	4		
Visual aids are not provided.	Visual aids are provided, but not illustrative of important concepts.	Visual aids are well-done, and illustrative of important concepts.	Visual aids are well-done, reinforce important concepts, and effectively reinforce the presentation.		
Delivery					
1	2	3	4		
Group does not	Delivery is systematic, but with annoying	Delivery is clean and clear, with some eye	Delivery is exceptional and unique, with		
appear prepared to speak.	mannerisms and no eye contact.	contact and very few annoyances.	regular eye contact and no annoyances.		
		Public Support			
1	2	3	4		
Method(s) portrays potential negative public impact, environmentally or otherwise, and no information is provided to allay this	Public support is not directly sought, nor is the question of environmental impact raised or implied.	Desire for public support is implied but not cultivated with definitive information; simple statement is made regarding the lack of potential negative environmental impact.	Information is provided to promote public support, and relationship of method(s) and potential environmental impact are respectfully and positively described.		
impression.					
Credibility of Resources					
1	2	3	4		
Resources were	Some resources were questionable, non-	Most resources were reliable scientific	All resources were reliable scientific		
mostly non-scientific	scientific sources; the majority of sources	sources; encyclopedias were used only as	sources.		
sources, like tabloid	were encyclopedias.	first sources for terminology.			
newspapers; or all					
sources were					
encyclopedias.					

Use this space to create additional scoring criteria.

1	2	3	4	
			·	
1	2	3	4	